

State Performance Plan Indicator Guide

*A Resource for Understanding the California
State Performance Plan Indicators*

Companion to the 2018-19 Annual Performance Report
Updated May 2020



**SYSTEM
IMPROVEMENT
LEADS**

INSPIRE • CONNECT • INNOVATE



Document Updates:

The State Performance Plan Indicator (SPPI) Guide will be periodically updated to reflect changes to the State Performance Plan indicators, data sources and calculations as they arise. **Therefore, it is recommended that the online version be utilized whenever possible** by visiting <https://systemimprovement.org/>. As of May 2020, two notable changes are the *CASEMIS to CALPADS* transition and adoption of a new State Performance Plan for the 2019-2020 through 2024-2025 school years.

CASEMIS to CALPADS Transition: In order to streamline data collection and support programmatic efforts to include students with disabilities in the general education environment, CASEMIS was gradually phased out during the 2018-2019 school year. Therefore, data fields from both systems are included in this document, as appropriate. This version of the SPPI Guide serves as a helpful tool for understanding the current State Performance Plan (SPP) as well as the 2018-2019 Annual Performance Report (APR). Data previously maintained by CASEMIS was fully integrated into the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) for the 2019-2020 school year and will be reflected in the 2019-2020 APR. This document will be updated again during the 2020-2021 school year to reflect the necessary changes for interpreting the 2019-2020 APR.

State Performance Plan: The State Performance Plan (SPP) is a six-year plan submitted to the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) by the California Department of Education (CDE). The current SPP spans Federal Fiscal Years (FFY) 2013-2018 (school years 2013-2014 to 2018-2019). However, OSEP has announced that it will not be implementing a new six-year cycle until 2020-2021 and directed states to create extension targets for the 2019-2020 school year. Approval of California's extension targets is pending at the time of publication. Extension targets, to accompany the 2019-2020 APR, will be included in the next version of this guide. This document will also be updated once the new SPP is made available.



Please Submit Your Feedback:

As the user of this guide, your feedback is essential. Please use the following link or QR code to provide feedback on this resource:
www.surveymonkey.com/r/sil-sppi-guide-user-feedback.



This resource was developed by the System Improvement Leads (SIL) project. SIL is supported by the California Department of Education and the California Collaborative for Educational Excellence.

Updated May 2020

Table of Contents

Introduction to the State Performance Plan Indicator Guide	1
Getting to Know the State Performance Plan Indicators	3
Making Connections to the State Performance Plan Indicators	5
State Performance Plan Indicator Handouts:	
Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	11
Indicator 2: Dropout Rate	13
Indicator 3b: Statewide Assessment Participation Rate	15
Indicator 3c: Statewide Assessment Proficiency Rate	17
Indicator 4a: Discipline Rate: Overall	19
Indicator 4b: Discipline Rate by Race or Ethnicity.....	21
Indicator 5a: Least Restrictive Environment: Inside the Regular Classroom 80% or More of the Day.	23
Indicator 5b: Least Restrictive Environment: Inside the Regular Classroom Less than 40% of the Day	25
Indicator 5c: Least Restrictive Environment: Separate Setting.....	27
Indicator 6a: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment: Regular Setting	29
Indicator 6b: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment: Separate Setting	31
Indicator 7a: Preschool Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills	33
Indicator 7b: Preschool Outcomes: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills	35
Indicator 7c: Preschool Outcomes: Use of Appropriate Behaviors	37
Indicator 8: Parent Involvement	39
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation: Overall	41
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation by Disability Categories	43
Indicator 11: Timely Eligibility Evaluation	45
Indicator 12: Timely Part C to B Transition	47
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition Goals and Services	49
Indicator 14a: Post School Outcomes: Higher Education	51
Indicator 14b: Post School Outcomes: Higher Education or Competitively Employed	53
Indicator 14c: Post School Outcomes: Post-Secondary Education or Employed	55

Appendices:

Appendix A: District of Special Education Accountability (DSEA) and District of Service (DOS) Table 59

Appendix B: California Department of Education (CDE) Special Education Monitoring Activities Summary 61

Appendix C: State Performance Plan Indicators At-A-Glance 63

Introduction to the State Performance Plan Indicator Guide

The State Performance Plan Indicator Guide provides convenient access to information on the California State Performance Plan Indicators. This resource includes a collection of individual handouts for each of the 14 State Performance Plan indicators reported in the local-level Annual Performance Report (APR), as shown below. Each handout will outline the essential elements of the specified indicator including definition, data source, calculation, targets, and connections to related processes. The goal of this guide is to support school leaders in analyzing the wide range of valuable data provided within the APR in order to improve the quality of education for all students, with an emphasis on students with disabilities. Additional information and tips on the use of this guide are included below:



Comprehensive Guide

Use this document in its entirety for a comprehensive guide to understanding the local-level State Performance Plan indicators.



SPP Indicators At-A-Glance

Refer to [Appendix C](#) for a helpful one-page, two-sided quick guide to the 14 local-level State Performance Plan indicators.



Individual Grab-and-Go Handouts

Each indicator handout and appendix resource is provided in a one-page, two-sided format to allow for easy grab-and-go use of the specific information you need.




Access Updates Online

This document will be periodically updated as new information becomes available. For this reason, please refer to the online version by visiting <https://systemimprovement.org/>.



Submit Your Feedback: As the user of this guide, your feedback is essential. Please use the following link to provide feedback on this resource: www.surveymonkey.com/r/sil-sppi-guide-user-feedback.



INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Getting to Know the State Performance Plan

State Performance Plan: At-A-Glance



The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires that each state develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) every six years.



The SPP includes 17 indicators determined by the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) based on requirements of IDEA.



Once a year, the California Department of Education (CDE) is required to collect state-wide data on each indicator and report performance to OSEP in a **state-level** Annual Performance Report (APR).



Using a similar process, the CDE, Special Education Division (SED) uses indicators 1-14 to measure the outcomes and compliance of each Local Educational Agency¹ (LEA) within the state.



Once a year, the CDE uses data collected for each LEA and reports results in a **local-level** APR.



SPP/APR and the California School Dashboard are separate reporting processes.



Data on selected SPP and Dashboard indicators is used to inform CDE's special education monitoring activities.

State Performance Plan: An Overview

The **Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)** requires that the US Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) monitor states' implementation of IDEA. In order to do so, each state is required to develop and submit a **State Performance Plan (SPP)**. The State Performance Plan is a six-year plan that includes 17 measures referred to as **indicators**. The indicators are determined by OSEP and are related to either IDEA compliance or student performance. Within the SPP, the state must set rigorous and measurable annual targets for each of the 17 indicators. States must report their progress in relation to these targets in an annual update referred to as the **Annual Performance Report (APR)**.



State Performance Plan Indicators: A Closer Look

The State Performance Plan includes 17 state-level indicators drawn from components of IDEA. With the exception of indicator 17, all indicators are divided into two types: **compliance indicators** and **performance indicators**. Compliance indicators focus on whether a specific requirement of IDEA was met. Therefore, targets for compliance indicators are set at either 0 or 100 percent. Performance indicators focus on student and family outcomes. Targets for performance indicators vary and are determined by each state. A list of the 17 state-level indicators by type is included below:

SPP Compliance Indicators	SPP Performance Indicators
4b: Discipline Rate by Race/Ethnicity 9: Disproportionate Representation 10: Disproportionate Representation by Disability 11: Timely Eligibility Evaluation 12: Timely Part C to B Transition 13: Secondary Transition Goals and Services	1: Graduation Rate 2: Dropout Rate 3: Statewide Assessment 4a: Discipline Rate: Overall 5: Least Restrictive Environment 6: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment 7: Preschool Outcomes 8: Parent Involvement 14: Post-School Outcomes 15: Resolution Sessions ² 16: Mediation ²
17: State Systemic Improvement Plan ² Indicator 17 is neither a performance nor compliance indicator. For more information on the SSIP, please refer to: https://osep.grads360.org/#program/ssip	

¹ The term LEA refers to school districts, county offices of education, and charter schools. As of SY 17-18, all charter schools receive a local-level APR.

² The SPP Indicator Resource Guide is intended as a local-level resource, therefore handouts are not included for indicators 15-17.

Data Collection and Reporting

State Performance Plan indicator data collected through the 2018-2019 school year is pulled from both the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS) and California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS), amongst other data sources. Beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, CASEMIS has been phased out and special education data is now reported exclusively in the CALPADS system. This guide will be updated to reflect changes to Data Field names and/or reporting periods utilized for SPP indicators to align with the 2019-2020 Annual Performance Report (APR). The current version of this document will continue to serve as a helpful tool for interpreting the 2018-2019 APR.

Data for each State Performance Plan indicator is reported by either the **District of Special Education Accountability (DSEA)** (previously known as District of Residence) or the **Reporting LEA** (previously known as District of Service). Consideration of which entity is responsible for reporting data is particularly helpful for LEAs with students placed in other district, county or charter school programs through the IEP process. Comprehensive definitions of DSEA and Reporting LEA are provided within [Appendix A](#) of this guide. Please note that LEAs with students attending a nonpublic school or residential program maintain status as both the DSEA and Reporting LEA for those students. Therefore, students attending a nonpublic or residential program will be included in the LEA's State Performance Plan indicator calculations. Please refer to the indicator handouts for information on whether a specific indicator is reported by the DSEA or Reporting LEA.

Annual Performance Report: One Name, Two Reporting Processes

The Annual Performance Report (APR) is prepared by the California Department of Education (CDE) each year to report progress on annual targets set in the State Performance Plan (SPP). Based on the data collected, CDE prepares two reports: a state-level APR that is provided to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) and a local-level APR provided to LEAs. Please see below for more information on each report.

State-Level APR

Each year, states are required to collect data on each SPP indicator and report performance to OSEP in a state-level APR. Performance is based on whether the state as a whole has met the designated target outlined in the SPP. OSEP uses the information included in the SPP and APR to determine if the state has met requirements or requires assistance and/or further intervention.



The CDE collects data annually on each of the SPP indicators and prepares two reports.

Local-Level APR

The CDE uses an aligned APR process to measure the outcomes and compliance of each individual LEA within the state using 14 of the SPP indicators (excluding state-level indicators 15, 16 and 17). In addition to determining compliance status, the reports provide information that can be used by school leaders to examine programs and focus efforts in areas of needed improvement.

Examining Indicators within the Local-Level Annual Performance Report (APR)

The goal of the State Performance Plan Indicator Resource Guide is to support school leaders in analyzing the wide range of valuable data provided within the local-level APR in order to improve the quality of education for all students, with an emphasis on students with disabilities. For information on the indicators included within your LEA's APR, please refer to the indicator handouts included in this guide. As a reminder, this resource will be updated to reflect changes to the California State Performance Plan indicators, data sources and calculations as they arise. It is recommended that the online version be utilized whenever possible by visiting <https://systemimprovement.org/>.

Making Connections to the State Performance Plan Indicators

The State Performance Plan and subsequent Annual Performance Reports (APRs) are one of multiple federal, state and local monitoring and accountability processes. Therefore, each indicator handout included in this guide will include a **Making Connections** section to illustrate the possible relationship between the State Performance Plan indicator and related processes (*Figure 1*). **The Local Control Funding Formula/Local Control Accountability Plan (LCFF/LCAP), California School Dashboard** and the California Department of Education's (CDE's) **special education monitoring activities** each serve a unique purpose with a common goal of improving educational outcomes for students. Therefore, each Making Connections section will highlight related LCAP priorities, Dashboard indicators and QAP activities, when applicable.




Figure 1: Making Connections Section Sample

State Performance Plan Indicators and Local Control Accountability Plan Development

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) is California's current funding system. Under the LCFF, all Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare and submit a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) with input from a variety of stakeholders. The LCAP provides a template for LEAs to set goals, plan actions, and leverage resources to improve student outcomes in ten state and local priority areas. Please note that priorities 9 and 10 apply to County Offices of Education (COEs) only.

Analysis of State Performance Plan indicator data may support identifying areas of need or growth during the planning stages of LCAP development. Therefore, when applicable, potential connections between a specific indicator and a related LCAP priority will be provided within the Making Connections section of each indicator handout. Please note that the potential connections to LCAP priorities within this guide are included for consideration only and are not prescriptive.

LCFF/LCAP Priorities									
Parent Involvement (Priority 3)	School Climate (Priority 6)	Student Engagement (Priority 5)	Basic Services (Priority 1)	State Standards (Priority 2)	Course Access (Priority 7)	Foster Youth (Priority 9: COE only)	Foster Youth (Priority 10: COE only)	Student Outcomes (Priority 8)	Student Achievement (Priority 4)
Engagement			Conditions of Learning				Student Outcomes		

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system which utilizes its own unique set of indicators for monitoring and reporting. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences in the calculation methodologies and targets for the two sets of

accountability indicators. When examined together, the State Performance Plan and Dashboard indicators provide invaluable information about educational access for students with disabilities¹ and ensures that their experiences are considered among data for all students. In cases where a State Performance Plan indicator shares similarities with a Dashboard indicator, the individual handouts included in this guide will provide a summary comparison table to support in navigating differences and similarities between the two indicator types. For more information on the California School Dashboard, please visit www.caschooldashboard.org.


	State Performance Plan	California School Dashboard
Description	Federal process required by IDEA , specific to students with disabilities.	An online tool that provides information on multiple measures of school success for all students, not specific to students with disabilities.
Origin of Indicators	17 federal indicators drawn from IDEA Part B.	11 state and local indicators drawn from the ten priority areas of the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) and approved by the State Board of Education (SBE).
Indicator Types	Compliance and Performance Indicators (please see the Getting to Know the State Performance Plan Indicators document).	State indicators: based on data collected consistently across the state. Local indicators: based on data collected and reported by LEAs using SBE-approved self-reflection tools.
Results	Results are provided annually to school districts, county offices of education, and charter schools ² within the local-level APR. SPP indicators are measured in relation to targets aligned with IDEA and approved by the SBE. For more information on targets, please refer to the individual indicator handouts included in this guide.	Results are updated annually on the Dashboard website. Dashboard state indicators utilize a color-coded system ranging from blue (highest) to red (lowest). The overall performance level reflects a combination of current performance (status) compared to past performance (change). For local indicators, SBE-approved standards are used to support an LEA in both measuring and reporting progress. The LEA will select Met or Not Met based on whether the standard for a local indicator was achieved.

State Performance Plan Indicators and the CDE Special Education Monitoring Activities

Data reported on the State Performance Plan indicators and Dashboard indicators provide the CDE with information needed to measure LEA outcomes. LEAs may be selected for further monitoring based on their performance on specified indicators. The CDE has made updates to its monitoring system beginning in the 2019-20 school year. As shown in the corresponding figure, the CDE’s special education monitoring activities now fall within three levels of monitoring; Universal, Targeted and Intensive.

Eligibility for each of the monitoring activities is determined annually using data reported in the local-level APR, on the Dashboard, or using an alternative calculation. Each year, CDE provides an annual determination letter to LEAs designating whether they have been selected to participate in one or more of the special education monitoring activities.

The 2019-2020 monitoring activity levels represent a shift in nomenclature from the six monitoring activities previously utilized



in the Quality Assurance Process (QAP). The table below illustrates the evolution of monitoring names from those previously used within the 18-19 monitoring year to the current special education monitoring types.

Monitoring Activity <u>NAME</u> in the 18-19 Monitoring Year ¹	Monitoring Activity <u>TYPE</u> in the 19-20 Monitoring Year ²
Review of identified monitoring priorities included in the local-level APR, Dashboard and other data sources	NEW Universal Monitoring
Data Identified Non-Compliance (DINC)	Targeted Monitoring (Compliance)
Performance Indicator Review (PIR)	Targeted Monitoring (Performance)
Disproportionality Review	Targeted Monitoring (Disproportionality)
Comprehensive Review	Intensive Monitoring (School Age)
Preschool Review	Intensive Monitoring (Preschool Age)
Significant Disproportionality Review	Intensive Monitoring (Significant Disproportionality)

A brief summary of each of the three 2019-2020 special education monitoring levels, including selection criteria and activities, are provided in [Appendix B: Special Education Monitoring Activities Summary](#). When applicable, connections between a specific State Performance Plan (SPP) indicator and the associated special education monitoring activity will be provided in the Making Connections section of each SPP indicator handout. For more information on monitoring activities, please visit: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/qa/.

Additional Resources

For more information on the topics included in this section, please reference the table below.

State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report	U.S. Department of Education information on IDEA SPP/APR: https://sites.ed.gov/idea/spp-apr/ California's State Performance Plan: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/qa/index.asp California's State Level APRs: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/qa/index.asp Local-Level APRs: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp
LCFF/LCAP	LCFF Priorities Whole Child Resource Map: https://www.cde.ca.gov/eo/in/lcff1sys-resources.asp CDE LCFF Overview and Information: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/
California School Dashboard	CDE California School Dashboard and System of Support page: https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/ California School Dashboard Website: https://www.caschooldashboard.org California School Dashboard Technical Guide: https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/documents/dashboardguide19.pdf
Special Education Monitoring Activities	CDE Quality Assurance Process page: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/qa/ CDE Services and Resources page: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/sr/

¹ Aligns with the 17-18 Annual Performance Report (APR) and 2018 Dashboard

² Aligns with the 18-19 APR and 2019 Dashboard

INTENTIONALLY BLANK

State Performance Plan Indicator Handouts



**SYSTEM
IMPROVEMENT
LEADS**

INSPIRE • CONNECT • INNOVATE

INTENTIONALLY BLANK

1

State Performance Plan Indicator 1: Graduation Rate

Indicator 1 is a performance indicator that measures the percent of students with disabilities, within a four-year cohort, who graduate from high school with a regular diploma within four years.

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for this indicator?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities grades 9-12 will receive results for Indicator 1.


What is the data source?

Indicator 1 utilizes prior year data from the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) Cohort Outcome Data file. The specific fields used for calculating Indicator 1 are: District Code, Subgroup, Subgroup Type, and Aggregation Level. This indicator is reported by the Reporting LEA.

How are results calculated?

Results are calculated by dividing the number of students with IEPs who graduate high school in four years with a regular diploma by the number of students with IEPs who form the adjusted cohort for the graduating class, multiplied by 100. The four-year adjusted cohort is based on the number of students who enter grade 9 for the first time. The cohort is then adjusted by adding any student who transfers in during grade 9 or during the next three years, and subtracts any student who transfers out, moves to another country, transfers to a prison or juvenile facility, or dies during that same period¹.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with IEPs who graduate high school in 4 years with a regular diploma}}{\text{\# of students with IEPs who form the adjusted cohort for the graduating class}} \right) \times 100$$



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

State Indicator: High School Graduation Rate
Refer to the following page additional information.



Related LCAP Priority

Priority 5: Student Engagement



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activity

Universal Monitoring

¹ Information on the cohort calculation methodology can be found at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sd/sd/acgrinfo.asp.

What is the target for Indicator 1: Graduation Rate?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 1, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between State Performance Plan Indicator 1: Graduation Rate and the California Dashboard Graduation Rate Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 1: Graduation Rate	Dashboard Indicator: Graduation Rate
Description	Performance indicator that measures the percent of students with disabilities (SWD), within a four-year cohort, who graduate from high school with a regular diploma within four years.	State indicator that measures the percent of all students who attend a comprehensive high school and graduate with a regular high school diploma within four years of entering grade 9. In addition to the overall graduation metric, several student group reports, including one for SWD, are available for this indicator.
Participants	Students with disabilities (SWD).	All students. For SWD student group, SWD are defined as students who are marked as SWD at any time during the four-year cohort.
Data Source	CALPADS	CALPADS
Calculation	Calculation is the number of students with IEPs who graduate high school in four years, with a regular diploma, divided by the number of students with IEPs who form the adjusted cohort for the graduating class. <i>Note: five-year graduates are not included in this calculation.</i>	Calculation utilizes prior year data (status) and two years prior data (change) for an overall performance level. Status calculation for comprehensive high school: Number of combined four- and five-year graduates divided by total number of students in the four-year cohort plus the number of five-year graduates. Change calculation for comprehensive high school: Most recent Graduation Rate minus previous year Graduation Rate.
Results	SPP performance indicators are measured in relation to targets approved by the SBE. Results are provided annually to the LEA in the APR.	Dashboard state indicators, utilize a color-coded system ranging from blue (highest) to red (lowest). The overall performance level reflects a combination of current performance (status) compared to past performance (change). Dashboard results are updated annually and are publicly accessible at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring.	Targeted Monitoring (Performance).

2

State Performance Plan Indicator 2: Dropout Rate

Indicator 2 is a performance indicator that measures the percent of students in grades nine and higher, and ungraded students age 14 and older, who exited special education services by dropping out of high school.

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for this indicator?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities grades 9-12 or ages 14-22 will receive results for Indicator 2.

What is the data source?


Indicator 2 utilizes prior year data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS). The specific CASEMIS fields used for calculating Indicator 2 are: Birth Date, Exit Reason, District of Residence, and School Code. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

Dropout rates are calculated from data reported for students with disabilities in grades 9-12, resulting in an annual (one-year) dropout rate. This rate estimates the percent of students who would drop out in a four-year period based on data collected for a single year.

Results are calculated by dividing the number of students with disabilities (age 14-22) who dropped out by the total number of students with disabilities (age 14-22) who exited high school, multiplied by 100.


$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with disabilities who dropped out}}{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities who exited high school}} \right) \times 100$$



Related California School Dashboard Indicator
No related Dashboard indicator



Related LCAP Priority
Priority 5: Student Engagement



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities
Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance)

What is the target for Indicator 2: Dropout Rate?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 2, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

3

State Performance Plan Indicator 3b: Statewide Assessment Participation Rate

Indicator 3 is a performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure the participation and performance of students with disabilities on statewide assessments. 3b measures the participation rate of students with disabilities on the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) in English Language Arts (ELA) and Math, calculated separately.

What are the components of Indicator 3?

Indicator 3 is divided into three components, each of which measures the participation or performance of students with disabilities on statewide assessments. This handout will focus solely on indicator 3b. **Note: Indicator 3a is not currently used as it was a calculated percentage tied to Annual Yearly Progress (AYP), which has been discontinued.*

- 3a Annual Yearly Progress*
- 3b Participation Rate
- 3c Proficiency Rate

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 3b?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students in designated CAASPP testing grades (3-8 and/or 11) will receive results for Indicator 3b. This includes students who participated in the Smarter Balance Assessment Consortium (SBAC) and the California Alternative Assessment (CAA).

What is the data source?

Indicator 3b utilizes data from the CAASPP file provided to the California Department of Education (CDE). The specific fields utilized for calculating participation rate are: Record Type, District Code, School Code, and those students marked "yes" in the IDEA designation field. This field indicates that a student has an IEP at the time of the test. CDE then identifies which of the IDEA designated students participated in the SBAC or CAA. For the SBAC, students must log on to both the Computer Adaptive Test and the Performance Task in order to count as participated. For the CAA, students must log on to the test. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability.

How are results calculated?

English Language Arts Participation Rate: The number of IDEA designated students who took the SBAC for English Language Arts + the number of IDEA designated students who took the CAA for English Language Arts divided by the total number of students with IDEA designation, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with IDEA designation who took the SBAC or CAA for ELA}^{1,2}}{\text{Total \# of students with IDEA designation}^3} \right) \times 100$$

Mathematics Participation Rate: The number of IDEA designated students who took the SBAC for Mathematics + the number of IDEA designated students who took the CAA for Mathematics divided by the total number of students with IDEA designation, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with IDEA designation who took the SBAC or CAA for Math}^1}{\text{Total \# of students with IDEA designation}^3} \right) \times 100$$

¹ Students coded in the CAASPP file as having a **medical exemption** are **excluded** from both the **ELA and Math** calculations.

² Students coded in the CAASPP file as being **English language learners enrolled** in a school for **fewer than 12 months** are **excluded** from the **ELA** calculation.

³ **Students who do not participate for any other reason**, including parent waiver, **are included** in the participation rate calculation. Although the state of CA allows parents to waive state testing, the federal Education Department does not recognize parent waivers. As such, these students must be included in participation calculations.

Indicator 3b:
Making
Connections



Related CA School Dashboard Indicator

State Indicator: Academic Performance



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance)




Related LCAP Priorities

- 4: Student Achievement
- 7: Course Access
- 8: Student Outcomes

What is the target for Indicator 3b?

The California State Performance Plan established a target of 95% participation for Indicator 3b for school years 13-14 through 18-19. Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report (APR). LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.



Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between SPP Indicator 3b: Participation Rate and the California Dashboard Academic Performance Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 3b: Statewide Assessment Participation Rate	Dashboard Indicator: Academic Performance
Description	Performance Indicator that measures the participation rate of students with disabilities in the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics, calculated separately.	State indicator that measures student performance on the CAASPP for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics, calculated separately, and the California Alternate Assessment (CAA). Participation rate is not a stand alone indicator but is one component of the Academic Performance calculation. Participation rates lower than 95% result in a negative adjustment of the performance level.
Participants	Students with disabilities (SWD). SWD defined as students with IEPs at the time of the test.	All students. For SWD student group, SWD are defined as students who had an IEP during the relevant school year, or took the CAA.
Data Source	CAASPP	CAASPP and CALPADS
Calculation	Number of IDEA designated students who took the CAASPP divided by the total number of IDEA designated students. Note: these numbers are not rounded (e.g., 94.1% is reported as 94.1%).	Total number of students tested (Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs) divided by total number of students enrolled during the testing window. Note: whole number rounding is used (e.g., 94.1% is rounded up and reported as 95%).
Results	SPP performance indicators are measured in relation to targets approved by the SBE and summarized in the LEA-level APR. APRs are publicly accessible at: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp .	Participation rates used in the Academic Performance Indicator are available via the "additional reports" tab of each LEA's dashboard. Dashboard results are updated annually and publicly accessible at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance).	None.

3

State Performance Plan Indicator 3c: Statewide Assessment Proficiency Rate

Indicator 3 is a performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure the participation and performance of students with disabilities on statewide assessments. 3c measures the percent of students with disabilities proficient on statewide assessments in ELA and Mathematics, calculated separately.

What are the components of Indicator 3?

Indicator 3 is divided into three components, each of which measures the participation or performance of students with disabilities on statewide assessments. This handout will focus solely on indicator 3c. *Please note: Indicator 3a is not currently being used as it was a calculated percentage tied to Annual Yearly Progress (AYP), which has been discontinued.*

- 3a Annual Yearly Progress*
- 3b Participation Rate
- 3c Proficiency Rate

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 3c?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students in designated CAASPP testing grades (3-8 and/or 11) will receive results for Indicator 3c. This includes students who participated in the Smarter Balance Assessment Consortium (SBAC) and the California Alternative Assessment (CAA).


What is the data source?

Indicator 3c utilizes data from the CAASPP file provided to the California Department of Education (CDE). The specific fields utilized for calculating proficiency rate are: Record Type, District Code, School Code, Achievement Level, and IDEA Designation. IDEA designation indicates that a student has an IEP at the time of the test. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability.

How are results calculated?

Proficiency rate is calculated by dividing the number of students with IDEA designation scoring at or above the standard on the SBAC or CAA by the total number of students with IDEA designation who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned, multiplied by 100. **The same calculation is used for ELA and math.**

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with IDEA designation scoring at or above the standard on the SBAC or CAA}}{\text{Total \# of students with IDEA designation}} \right) \times 100$$



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

State Indicator: Academic Performance
Refer to the following page for additional information.

Related LCAP Priorities


- Priority 4: Student Achievement
- Priority 7: Course Access
- Priority 8: Student Outcomes

Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring and Intensive Monitoring (School Age)

What is the target for Indicator 3c: Statewide Assessment Proficiency Rate?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



*Targets are based on Annual Yearly Progress (AYP), which is no longer used. A new measurement was used as of the 15-16 school year.

Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 3c, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between SPP Indicator 3c: Statewide Assessment Proficiency and the Dashboard Academic Performance Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 3c: Statewide Assessment Proficiency Rate	Dashboard Indicator: Academic Performance
Description	Performance indicator that measures the percent of students with disabilities scoring proficient on the CAASPP in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics, calculated separately.	State indicator that measures student performance on the CAASPP for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics (calculated separately) and the CAA.
Participants	Students with disabilities (SWD). SWD is defined as students with IEPs at the time of the test.	All students. For SWD student group, SWD are defined as students who had an IEP during the relevant school year, or took the CAA.
Data Source	CAASPP	CAASPP and CALPADS
Calculation	Number of students with disabilities scoring at or above the standard divided by the total number of students with disabilities who received a valid score and for whom a proficiency level was assigned.	Academic performance involves two calculations: Distance From Standard (DFS) and participation rate. The DFS is the distance from the lowest possible score for "Standard Met" calculated for each student. The overall DFS is then calculated by averaging the DFS of each student. Results from the CAA are also included in the calculations for this indicator. Participation rate: Total number of students who took the CAASPP and CAA divided by the total number of enrolled students.
Results	SPP performance indicators, such as statewide assessment, are measured in relation to targets approved by the SBE. Indicator results are summarized in the LEA-level APR which are publicly accessible at: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp .	Dashboard state indicators, such as Academic Performance, utilize a color-coded system ranging from blue (highest) to red (lowest). The overall performance level reflects a combination of current performance (status) compared to past performance (change). Dashboard results are updated annually and are accessible at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring and Intensive Monitoring (School Age).	Targeted Monitoring (Performance).

4

State Performance Plan Indicator 4a: Discipline Rate: Overall

Indicator 4 utilizes two components to measure discipline rate. 4a is a performance indicator that measures the percentage of Local Education Agencies (LEAs) that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for students with disabilities.

What are the components of Indicator 4?

Indicator 4 is divided into two components, each of which measures the discipline rate of students with disabilities. Indicator 4a is a performance indicator that measures the overall discipline rate, whereas 4b is a compliance indicator that measures the discipline rate of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity. This handout will focus on Indicator 4a.

- 4a Discipline rate overall
- 4b Discipline rate by race/ethnicity

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 4a?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 3-22 will receive results for Indicator 4a.

What is the data source?

Indicator 4a utilizes data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS) and prior year California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) discipline data. The specific CASEMIS fields are: Birth Date, Plan Type, District of Residence, and School Code. The specific CALPADS fields are: Discipline Type, Discipline Duration, and Occurrence Date. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

Results for Indicator 4a are calculated by comparing the LEA discipline rate to the statewide rate. An LEA is considered to have a significant discrepancy if the district-wide rate for suspension and expulsion exceeds the statewide rate.

LEA Discipline Rate: Number of students with disabilities who were disciplined for greater than 10 cumulative days in a school year divided by the number of students with disabilities in the LEA, multiplied by 100. *Note: a denominator of at least 20 and a numerator of at least 2 is required for this calculation.*

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with disabilities disciplined > 10 cumulative days in a school year}}{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities in the LEA}} \right) \times 100$$

Statewide Discipline Rate: Total number of students with disabilities who were disciplined greater than 10 cumulative days in a school year divided by the total number of students with disabilities in the state, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities disciplined > 10 cumulative days in a school year}}{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities in the state}} \right) \times 100$$



Related CA Dashboard Indicator
State Indicator: Suspension Rate



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activity
Universal Monitoring



Related LCAP Priority
Priority 6: School Climate

What is the target for Indicator 4a: Discipline Rate Overall?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Please note that Indicator 4a uses a state-level target and requires that no more than 10% of LEAs, statewide, will demonstrate an overall discipline rate that exceeds the statewide rate. Statewide rates are calculated annually and may fluctuate from year to year. LEAs should refer to their Annual Performance Report to locate their LEA discipline rate as well as the statewide rate. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between State Performance Plan Indicator 4a: Discipline Rate Overall and the Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 4a: Discipline Rate Overall	Dashboard Indicator: Suspension Rate
Description	Performance indicator that measures the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 cumulative days in a school year for students with disabilities.	State indicator that measures the number of students who were suspended at least once in either the prior year or two years prior. Only students who have an aggregate suspension of one full day are included in the calculation. Expulsions are not included. <i>Note: if a student was suspended more than once in the school year, they are counted only once.</i>
Participants	Students with disabilities (SWD) aged 3-22. SWD is defined as students who are designated as a SWD during the school year in which the disciplinary action occurred.	All students. For SWD student group, SWD are defined as students who are marked as SWD at any time during the specified school year.
Data Source	CASEMIS (through 18-19 school year) and prior year CALPADS.	CALPADS
Calculation	The percentage is calculated by dividing students with disabilities who were disciplined for greater than 10 cumulative days in a school year by the number of students with disabilities in the LEA, multiplied by 100.	Calculation utilizes prior year data (status) and two years prior data (change) for an overall performance level. <u>Status calculation</u> for comprehensive high school: Number of Students Suspended divided by Cumulative Enrollment x100. <u>Change calculation</u> : Status (current suspension rate) minus prior year suspension rate.
Results	SPP performance indicators, such as Discipline Rate Overall, are measured by whether or not an LEA met targets approved by the SBE. Results are provided annually to the LEA in the APR accessible at: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp .	Dashboard state indicators utilize a color-coded system ranging from blue (highest) to red (lowest). The overall performance level reflects a combination of current performance (status) compared to past performance (change). Dashboard results are updated annually and are publicly accessible at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring.	Targeted Monitoring (Performance).

4

State Performance Plan Indicator 4b: Discipline Rate by Race or Ethnicity

Indicator 4 utilizes two components to measure discipline rate. 4b is a compliance indicator that measures the percent of LEAs that have significant discrepancy, by race/ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for students with disabilities.

What are the components of Indicator 4?

Indicator 4 is divided into two components, each of which measures the discipline rate of students with disabilities. Indicator 4a is a performance indicator that measures the overall discipline rate, whereas 4b is a compliance indicator that measures the discipline rate of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity. This handout will focus solely on Indicator 4b.

- 4a Discipline rate overall
- 4b Discipline rate by race/ethnicity

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 4b?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 6-22 will receive results for Indicator 4b.

What is the data source?

Indicator 4b utilizes data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS) and prior year California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) discipline data. The specific CASEMIS fields are: Race/Ethnicity, Birth Date, Plan Type, District of Residence, and School Code. The specific CALPADS fields are: Discipline Duration and Occurrence Date. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports.*

How are results calculated?

Results for Indicator 4b are calculated by comparing the LEA rate to the statewide rate. An LEA is considered to have a significant discrepancy if the district-wide rate, by race/ethnicity, exceeds the statewide rate for suspension and expulsion.

LEA Rate: Number of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity who were disciplined for greater than 10 cumulative days in a school year divided by the number of students with disabilities in that same race/ethnicity in the LEA, multiplied by 100. *Note: a denominator of at least 20 and numerator of at least 2 is required.*

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity disciplined > 10 cumulative days in a school year}}{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities in that same race/ethnicity in the LEA}} \right) \times 100$$

Statewide Rate: Total number of students with disabilities who were disciplined greater than 10 cumulative days in a school year divided by the total number of students with disabilities in the state, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities disciplined > 10 cumulative days in a school year}}{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities in the state}} \right) \times 100$$



Related CA Dashboard Indicator
State Indicator: Suspension Rate



Related LCAP Priority
Priority 6: School Climate



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activity

Universal Monitoring
Note: as of the 16-17 school year, the SPP Indicator 4b calculation is no longer used for identification for Disproportionality Review. Instead, the CDE uses a Risk Ratio calculation.

What is the target for Indicator 4b: Discipline Rate by Race/Ethnicity?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Please note that Indicator 4b uses a state-level target and requires that 0% of LEAs demonstrate a discipline rate, by race/ethnicity, that exceeds the statewide rate. Statewide rates are calculated annually and may fluctuate from year to year. LEAs should refer to their Annual Performance Report to locate their LEA discipline rate as well as the statewide rate. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between State Performance Plan Indicator 4b: Discipline Rate by Race/Ethnicity and the Dashboard Suspension Rate Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 4b: Discipline by Race/Ethnicity	Dashboard Indicator: Suspension Rate
Description	Compliance indicator that measures the rate of suspensions and expulsions, by race/ethnicity, of greater than 10 cumulative days in a school year for students with disabilities.	State indicator that measures the number of students who were suspended at least once in either the prior year or two years prior. Only students who have an aggregate suspension of one full day are included in the calculation. Expulsions are not included. Note: if a student was suspended more than once in the school year, they are counted only once.
Participants	Students with disabilities (SWD) aged 3-22, defined as students who are designated as a SWD during the school year in which the disciplinary action occurred.	All students. For SWD student group, SWD are defined as students who are marked as SWD at any time during the specified school year. Student group reports are also available by race/ethnicity, however reports of SWD by race/ethnicity are not available.
Data Source	CASEMIS (through 18-19 school year) and prior year CALPADS.	CALPADS
Calculation	The percentage is calculated by dividing students with disabilities, by race/ethnicity, who were disciplined for greater than 10 cumulative days in a school year by the number of students with disabilities of the same race/ethnicity in the LEA, multiplied by 100.	Calculation utilizes prior year data (status) and two years prior data (change) for an overall performance level. <u>Status calculation</u> for comprehensive high school: Number of Students Suspended divided by Cumulative Enrollment x100. <u>Change calculation</u> : Status (current suspension rate) minus prior year suspension rate.
Results	Targets for SPP compliance indicators, such as 4b, are set at either 0 or 100%. The target for 4b requires that 0% of LEAs have a significant discrepancy in discipline rate by race/ethnicity. Results are provided annually to the LEA in the APR accessible at: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp .	Dashboard state indicators, utilize a color-coded system ranging from blue (highest) to red (lowest). The overall performance level reflects a combination of current performance (status) compared to past performance (change). Dashboard results are updated annually and are publicly accessible at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring. <i>Note: as of the 16-17 school year, the SPP Indicator 4b calculation is no longer used for identification for Disproportionality Review. Instead, the CDE uses a Risk Ratio calculation.</i>	None.

5

State Performance Plan Indicator 5a: Least Restrictive Environment

Indicator 5 is a performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure whether students with disabilities are educated within the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE). 5a measures the percentage of students with disabilities, ages 6 through 22, served inside the regular classroom for at least 80% of the day.

What are the components of Indicator 5?

Indicator 5 is divided into three components, each of which measures students with disabilities' (SWD) access to education in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE). This handout will focus on indicator 5a, a performance indicator that measures the percent of children with disabilities, ages 6-22, served inside the regular classroom for at least 80% of the day.

- 5a Rate of SWD inside the regular classroom 80% or more of day
- 5b Rate of SWD inside the regular classroom less than 40% of the day
- 5c Separate Setting

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 5a?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 6-22 will receive results for Indicator 5a.


What is the data source?

Indicator 5a utilizes data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS). The specific CASEMIS fields used for calculating Indicator 5a are: Birth Date, Federal School Setting, Percent of Time in Regular Class, District of Residence, and School Code. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports.*

How are results calculated?

Results for Indicator 5a are calculated by dividing the number of students with disabilities served inside the regular class¹ 80% or more of the day by the total number of students with disabilities ages 6 through 22 in the LEA, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with disabilities ages 6-22 served inside the regular class 80% or more of the day}}{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities ages 6-22 within the LEA}} \right) \times 100$$



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

Local Indicator: Access to Broad Course of Study
Refer to the following page for additional information.

Related LCAP Priorities

Priority 1: Basic Services
Priority 7: Course Access

Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring, Targeted Monitoring (Performance), and Intensive Monitoring (School Age). *Note: the SPP Indicator 5a calculation is not used for identification for Targeted Monitoring (Disproportionality). Instead, the CDE utilizes a Risk Ratio calculation.*

¹ Includes non academic activities such as recess, lunch, passing periods, etc.

What is the target for Indicator 5a: LRE In Regular Classroom 80% or More of the Day?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 5a, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between State Performance Plan Indicator 5a: LRE In Regular Classroom 80% or More of Day and the California Dashboard Access to Broad Course of Study Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 5a: LRE Regular Classroom 80% or More of the Day	Dashboard Indicator: Access to Broad Course of Study
Description	Performance indicator that measures the percent of students with disabilities, ages 6 through 22, served inside the regular classroom for at least 80% of the day.	Local indicator that measures the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable.
Participants	Students with disabilities ages 6-22.	All students. <i>Note: local indicators do not provide student group (e.g., SWD) level data.</i>
Data Source	CASEMIS (<i>through 18-19 school year</i>).	Locally selected measure or tool as selected by the LEA.
Calculation	Calculation is the number of students with disabilities ages 6-22 who are in a regular class at least 80% of the day divided by total number of students with disabilities ages 6-22 in the LEA, multiplied by 100.	No specific calculation. SBE-approved standards for local indicators are used to support an LEA in both measuring and reporting progress. The LEA will select <i>Met</i> or <i>Not Met</i> based on whether the standard for a local indicator was achieved.
Results	SPP performance indicators, such as educational environments, are measured by whether or not an LEA met targets approved by the SBE. Results are summarized in the LEA-level Annual Performance Report (APR). APRs are publicly accessible at: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp .	Dashboard local indicators, such as access to a broad course of study, are assigned an overall performance level of "Standard Met" or "Standard Not Met". Dashboard results are updated annually and are publicly accessible at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring, Targeted Monitoring (Performance), and Intensive Review (School Age). <i>Note: the SPP Indicator 5a calculation is not used for identification for Targeted Monitoring (Disproportionality). Instead, the CDE utilizes a Risk Ratio calculation.</i>	None.

5

State Performance Plan Indicator 5b: Least Restrictive Environment

Indicator 5 is a performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure whether students with disabilities are educated within the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE). 5b measures the percentage of students with disabilities, ages 6 through 22, served inside the regular classroom less than 40% of the day.

What are the components of Indicator 5?

Indicator 5 is divided into three components, each of which measures students with disabilities' (SWD) access to education in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE). This handout will focus on indicator 5b, a performance indicator that measures the percent of children with disabilities, ages 6-22, served inside the regular classroom for less than 40% of the day.

- 5a Rate of SWD inside the regular classroom 80% or more of day
- 5b Rate of SWD inside the regular classroom less than 40% of the day
- 5c Separate Setting

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 5b?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 6-22 will receive results for Indicator 5b.


What is the data source?

Indicator 5b utilizes data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS). The specific CASEMIS fields used for calculating Indicator 5b are: Birth Date, Federal School Setting, Percent of Time in Regular Class, District of Residence, and School Code. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports.*

How are results calculated?

Results for Indicator 5b are calculated by dividing the number of students with disabilities served inside the regular class¹ less than 40 percent of the day by total number of students with disabilities ages 6 through 22 in the LEA, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with disabilities ages 6-22 served inside the regular class less than 40\% of the day}}{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities ages 6-22 within the LEA}} \right) \times 100$$



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

Local Indicator: Access to Broad Course of Study
Refer to the following page for additional information.

Related LCAP Priorities

Priority 1: Basic Services
Priority 7: Course Access

Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance)
Note: the SPP Indicator 5b calculation is not used for identification for Targeted Monitoring (Disproportionality). Instead, the CDE utilizes a Risk Ratio calculation.

¹ Includes non academic activities such as recess, lunch, passing periods, etc.

What is the target for Indicator 5b: LRE In Regular Classroom less than 40% of the Day?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 5b, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between State Performance Plan Indicator 5b: LRE In Regular Classroom less than 40% of the Day and the California Dashboard Access to Broad Course of Study Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 5b: LRE, Regular Classroom less than 40% of the Day	Dashboard Indicator: Access to Broad Course of Study
Description	Performance indicator that measures the percent of students with disabilities, ages 6 through 22, served inside the regular classroom for less than 40% of the day.	Local indicator that measures the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable.
Participants	Students with disabilities ages 6-22.	All students. <i>Note: local indicators do not provide student group (e.g., SWD) level data.</i>
Data Source	CASEMIS (through 18-19 school year).	Locally selected measure or tool as selected by the LEA.
Calculation	Calculation is the number of students with disabilities ages 6-22 who are in a regular class less than 40% of the day divided by total number of students with disabilities ages 6-22 in the LEA, multiplied by 100.	No specific calculation. SBE-approved standards for local indicators are used to support an LEA in both measuring and reporting progress. The LEA will select <i>Met</i> or <i>Not Met</i> based on whether the standard for a local indicator was achieved.
Results	SPP performance indicators, such as educational environments, are measured by whether or not an LEA met targets approved by the SBE. Results are summarized in the LEA-level Annual Performance Report (APR). APRs are publicly accessible at: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp .	Dashboard local indicators, such as access to a broad course of study, are assigned an overall performance level of "Standard Met" or "Standard Not Met". Dashboard results are updated annually and are publicly accessible at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance). <i>Note: the SPP Indicator 5b calculation is not used for identification for Targeted Monitoring (Disproportionality). Instead, the CDE utilizes a Risk Ratio calculation.</i>	None.

5

State Performance Plan Indicator 5c: Least Restrictive Environment

Indicator 5 is a performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure whether students with disabilities are educated within the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE). 5c measures the percentage of students with disabilities, ages 6 through 22, served in public or private separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placement.

What are the components of Indicator 5?

Indicator 5 is divided into three components, each of which measures students with disabilities' (SWD) access to education in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE). This handout will focus on indicator 5c, a performance indicator that measures the percent of children with disabilities, ages 6-22, served in public or private separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placement.

- 5a Rate of SWD inside the regular classroom 80% or more of day
- 5b Rate of SWD inside the regular classroom less than 40% of the day
- 5c Separate Setting

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 5c?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 6-22 will receive results for Indicator 5c.

What is the data source?

Indicator 5c utilizes data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS). The specific CASEMIS fields used for calculating Indicator 5c are: Birth Date, Federal School Setting, District of Residence, and School Code. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

Results for Indicator 5c are calculated by dividing the number of students in separate facility¹ placements by total number of students with disabilities ages 6 through 22 in the LEA, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with disabilities ages 6-22 in separate facility}^1 \text{ placements}}{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities ages 6-22 within the LEA}} \right) \times 100$$



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

Local Indicator: Access to Broad Course of Study
Refer to the following page for additional information.

Related LCAP Priorities

Priority 1: Basic Services
Priority 7: Course Access

Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring, Targeted Monitoring (Performance), and Intensive Monitoring (School Age) *Note: the SPP Indicator 5c calculation is not used for identification for Targeted Monitoring (Disproportionality). Instead, the CDE utilizes a Risk Ratio calculation.*

¹ Includes residential facilities or homebound/hospital placements. Does not include students who are in correctional facilities or parentally-placed in private schools.

What is the target for Indicator 5c: LRE Separate Settings?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 5c, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between State Performance Plan Indicator 5c: LRE Separate Settings and the California Dashboard Access to Broad Course of Study Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 5c: LRE Separate Settings	Dashboard Indicator: Access to Broad Course of Study
Description	Performance indicator that measures the percent of students with disabilities, ages 6 through 22, served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placement (excludes correctional facilities or parental placement in private school).	Local indicator that measures the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable.
Participants	Students with disabilities ages 6-22.	All students. <i>Note: local indicators do not provide student group (e.g., SWD) level data.</i>
Data Source	CASEMIS (through 18-19 school year).	Locally selected measure or tool as selected by the LEA.
Calculation	Calculation is the number of students with disabilities age 6-22 served in separate facilities divided by the total number of students with disabilities age 6-22, multiplied by 100.	No specific calculation. SBE-approved standards for local indicators are used to support an LEA in both measuring and reporting progress. The LEA will select <i>Met</i> or <i>Not Met</i> based on whether the standard for a local indicator was achieved.
Results	SPP performance indicators, such as educational environments, are measured by whether or not an LEA met targets approved by the SBE. Results are summarized in the LEA-level Annual Performance Report (APR). APRs are publicly accessible at: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp .	Dashboard local indicators, such as access to a broad course of study, are assigned an overall performance level of "Standard Met" or "Standard Not Met". Dashboard results are updated annually and are publicly accessible at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring, Targeted Monitoring (Performance), and Intensive Monitoring (School Age). <i>Note: the SPP Indicator 5c calculation is not used for identification for Targeted Monitoring (Disproportionality). Instead, the CDE utilizes a Risk Ratio calculation.</i>	None.

6

State Performance Plan Indicator 6a: Preschool Least Restrictive Environments Regular Setting

Indicator 6 is a performance indicator that utilizes two components to measure whether preschool students with disabilities are educated within the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE). 6a measures the percent of children with disabilities, ages 3 through 5 years, attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program.

What are the components of Indicator 6?

Indicator 6 is divided into two components, each of which measures preschool LRE. This handout will focus on indicator 6a, a performance indicator that measures the percent of children with disabilities, ages 3-5 years, who receive a majority of services in the regular early childhood program.

- 6a Preschool: Regular Setting
- 6b Preschool: Separate Setting

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 6a?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 3-5 will receive results for Indicator 6a.


What is the data source?

Indicator 6a utilizes data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS). The specific CASEMIS fields used are: Birth Date, Federal Preschool Setting, District of Residence, and School Code. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

Results for Indicator 6a are calculated by dividing the number of children with disabilities ages 3-5 attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program by the total number of children with disabilities ages 3-5, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with disabilities ages 3-5 attending and receiving the majority of services in the regular program}}{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities ages 3-5 within the LEA}} \right) \times 100$$



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

No related Dashboard indicator



Related LCAP Priority

Priority 1: Basic Services
Priority 7: Course Access



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring, Targeted Monitoring (Performance), and Intensive Monitoring (Preschool Age)

What is the target for Indicator 6a: Preschool Least Restrictive Environments, Regular Setting?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 6a, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

6

State Performance Plan Indicator **6b**: Preschool Least Restrictive Environments Separate Setting

Indicator 6 is a performance indicator that utilizes two components to measure whether preschool students with disabilities are educated within the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE). 6b measures the percent of children with disabilities, ages 3 through 5 years, attending a separate special education class, separate school, or residential facility.

What are the components of Indicator 6?

Indicator 6 is broken into two components, each of which measures preschool LRE. This handout will focus solely on indicator 6b, a performance indicator that measures the percent of children with disabilities, ages 3-5 years, receiving the majority of services in a separate setting.

- 6a Preschool: Regular Setting
- 6b Preschool: Separate Setting

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 6b?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 3-5 will receive results for Indicator 6b.


What is the data source?

Indicator 6b utilizes data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS). The specific CASEMIS fields used are: Birth Date, Federal Preschool Setting, District of Residence, and School Code. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

Results for Indicator 6b are calculated by dividing the number of children with disabilities ages 3-5 attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility by the total number of children with disabilities ages 3-5, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with disabilities ages 3-5 attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility}}{\text{Total \# of students with disabilities ages 3-5 within the LEA}} \right) \times 100$$



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

No related Dashboard indicator



Related LCAP Priorities

Priority 1: Basic Services
Priority 7: Course Access



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring, Targeted Monitoring (Performance), and Intensive Monitoring (Preschool Age)

What is the target for Indicator 6b: Preschool Least Restrictive Environments, Separate Setting?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 6b, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

7 State Performance Plan Indicator 7a: Preschool Outcomes: Positive Social-Emotional Skills

Indicator 7 is a performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure outcomes for preschool students. 7a measures the percent of children with disabilities who demonstrate improvement in positive social-emotional skills, including social relationships.

What are the components of Indicator 7?

Indicator 7 is divided into three components, referred to as outcome areas. Each preschool outcome area measures improvement in a designated skill area for preschool students with disabilities (SWD). This handout will focus solely on 7a: Positive Social-Emotional Skills.

- 7a Positive Social-Emotional Skills
- 7b Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills
- 7c Use of Appropriate Behaviors

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 7a?


The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 3-5 will receive results for Indicator 7a.

What is the data source?

Indicator 7a utilizes data from the current reporting year Desired Results Developmental Profile (DRDP) submission. The DRDP is California's formative assessment instrument for young children. For more information on the DRDP, please visit the Desired Results Access Project webpage at www.draccess.org.

How are results calculated?

Results for all three Indicator 7 outcomes, including 7a, are calculated using a two-part process. **First**, improvement of those students who left the preschool program is measured based on five categories. *Note: preschool students with disabilities (SWD) refers specifically to those students with IEPs.* The five categories and subsequent five calculations for 7 are listed below:



How are results calculated? (continued)


Second, using the five progress categories on the previous page, **data on two target summary statements are computed and reported:**

1. Increased rate of growth: The percentage of children who entered the program below age expectations in outcome 7a who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the early childhood special education program. The calculation for this summary statement is as follows: $[(C+D)/(A+B+C+D)] \times 100$. The letters in this calculation correspond with the progress categories on the previous page.


$$\left(\frac{C + D}{A + B + C + D} \right) \times 100$$

2. Within age expectations: The percentage of children who were functioning within age expectations in outcome 7a by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the early childhood special education program. The calculation for this summary statement is as follows: $[(D+E)/(A+B+C+D+E)] \times 100$. The letters in this calculation correspond with the progress categories on the previous page.


$$\left(\frac{D + E}{A + B + C + D + E} \right) \times 100$$




Indicator 7a:
Making Connections



Related California School Dashboard Indicator
No related Dashboard indicator



Related LCAP Priority
Priority 7: Course Access



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities
Universal Monitoring and Intensive Monitoring (Preschool Age)

What is the target for Indicator 7a: Preschool Outcomes, Positive Social-Emotional Skills?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year for the two summary statements included in Indicator 7a:



*Targets were changed this year due to new assessment tool

Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 7a, please refer to your LEA Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarts.asp>.

7 State Performance Plan Indicator 7b: Preschool Outcomes: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills

Indicator 7 is a performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure outcomes for preschool students. 7b measures the acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, including early language/communication and early literacy.

What are the components of Indicator 7?

Indicator 7 is divided into three components, referred to as outcome areas. Each preschool outcome area measures improvement in a designated skill area for preschool students with disabilities (SWD). This handout will focus solely on 7b: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills.

- 7a Positive Social-Emotional Skills
- 7b Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills
- 7c Use of Appropriate Behaviors

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 7b?


The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 3-5 will receive results for Indicator 7b.

What is the data source?

Indicator 7b utilizes data from the current reporting year Desired Results Developmental Profile (DRDP) submission. The DRDP is California's formative assessment instrument for young children. For more information on the DRDP, please visit the Desired Results Access Project webpage at www.draccess.org.

How are results calculated?

Results for all three Indicator 7 outcomes, including 7b, are calculated using a two-part process. **First**, improvement of those students who left the preschool program is measured based on five categories. *Note: preschool students with disabilities (SWD) refers specifically to those students with IEPs.* The five categories and subsequent five calculations for 7 are listed below:



How are results calculated? (continued)


Second, using the five progress categories on the previous page, **data on two target summary statements are computed and reported:**

1. Increased rate of growth: The percentage of children who entered the program below age expectations in outcome 7b who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the early childhood special education program. The calculation for this summary statement is as follows: $[(C+D)/(A+B+C+D)] \times 100$. The letters in this calculation correspond with the progress categories on the previous page.

$$\left(\frac{C + D}{A + B + C + D} \right) \times 100$$

2. Within age expectations: The percentage of children who were functioning within age expectations in outcome 7b by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the early childhood special education program. The calculation for this summary statement is as follows: $[(D+E)/(A+B+C+D+E)] \times 100$. The letters in this calculation correspond with the progress categories on the previous page.

$$\left(\frac{D + E}{A + B + C + D + E} \right) \times 100$$



**Indicator 7b:
Making
Connections**

Related California School Dashboard Indicator
No related Dashboard indicator

Related LCAP Priority
Priority 7: Course Access

Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities
Universal Monitoring and Intensive Monitoring (Preschool Age)

What is the target for Indicator 7b: Preschool Outcomes, Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year for the two summary statements included in Indicator 7b:



*Targets were changed this year due to new assessment tool

Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 7b, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarts.asp>.

State Performance Plan Indicator 7c: Preschool Outcomes: Use of Appropriate Behaviors

Indicator 7 is a performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure outcomes for preschool students. 7c measures the use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

What are the components of Indicator 7?

Indicator 7 is divided into three components, referred to as outcome areas. Each preschool outcome area measures improvement in a designated skill area for preschool students with disabilities (SWD). This handout will focus solely on 7c: Use of Appropriate Behaviors.

- 7a Positive Social-Emotional Skills
- 7b Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills
- 7c Use of Appropriate Behaviors

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 7c?


The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 3-5 will receive results for Indicator 7c.

What is the data source?

Indicator 7c utilizes data from the current reporting year Desired Results Developmental Profile (DRDP) submission. The DRDP is California's formative assessment instrument for young children. For more information on the DRDP, please visit the Desired Results Access Project webpage at www.draccess.org.

How are results calculated?

Results for all three Indicator 7 outcomes, including 7c, are calculated using a two-part process. **First**, improvement of those students who left the preschool program is measured based on five categories. *Note: preschool students with disabilities (SWD) refers specifically to those students with IEPs.* The five categories and subsequent five calculations for 7 are listed below:



How are results calculated? (continued)


Second, using the five progress categories on the previous page, data on two target summary statements are computed and reported:

1. Increased rate of growth: The percentage of children who entered the program below age expectations in outcome 7c who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the early childhood special education program. The calculation for this summary statement is as follows: $[(C+D)/(A+B+C+D)] \times 100$. The letters in this calculation correspond with the progress categories on the previous page.


$$\left(\frac{C + D}{A + B + C + D} \right) \times 100$$

2. Within age expectations: The percentage of children who were functioning within age expectations in outcome 7c by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the early childhood special education program. The calculation for this summary statement is as follows: $[(D+E)/(A+B+C+D+E)] \times 100$. The letters in this calculation correspond with the progress categories on the previous page.


$$\left(\frac{D + E}{A + B + C + D + E} \right) \times 100$$




**Indicator 7c:
Making
Connections**



Related California School Dashboard Indicator
No related Dashboard indicator




Related LCAP Priority
Priority 7: Course Access



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities
Universal Monitoring and Intensive Monitoring (Preschool Age)

What is the target for Indicator 7c: Preschool Outcomes, Use of Appropriate Behaviors?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year for the two summary statements included in Indicator 7c:



*Targets were changed this year due to new assessment tool

Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 7c, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

8

State Performance Plan Indicator 8: Parent Involvement

Indicator 8 is a performance indicator that measures the percent of parents with a student receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for students with disabilities.

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for this indicator?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 3-22 will receive results for Indicator 8.

What is the data source?

Indicator 8 utilizes data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS). The specific CASEMIS fields used for calculating Indicator 8 are: Parent Input, District of Residence, and School Code. The Parent Input field represents the following question on the Individualized Education Program (IEP) document: ***“Did the school district facilitate parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for your child?”*** This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

Results are calculated by dividing the number of respondent parents who reported “yes” by the total number of respondent parents within the LEA, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of respondent parents who reported "yes"}}{\text{Total \# of respondent parents within the LEA}} \right) \times 100$$



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

Local indicator: Parent Engagement
Refer to the following page for additional information.



Related LCAP Priority

Priority 3: Parent Involvement



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance)

What is the target for Indicator 8: Parent Involvement?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 8, please refer to your LEA's APR. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between State Performance Plan Indicator 8: Parent Involvement and the California Dashboard Parent Engagement Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 8: Parent Involvement	Dashboard Indicator: Parent Engagement
Description	Indicator 8 measures the percent of parents with a student receiving special education services who reported that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for students with disabilities.	Local indicator that measures whether an LEA received parent input in decision-making and promoted parental participation in education programs for students.
Participants	Parents of students with disabilities.	Parents of all students attending the LEA.
Data Source	CASEMIS (through 18-19 school year).	Survey or other measure as determined by the LEA. LEA reports summary of progress toward: (1) seeking input from parents/guardians in decision making; and (2) promoting parental participation in programs. Description of why measures were selected and relationship to LCAP priorities is also required.
Calculation	Calculation of percentage of parents who responded "yes" to the question: "Did the school district facilitate parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for your child?"	No specific calculation. SBE-approved standards for local indicators are used to support an LEA in both measuring and reporting progress. The LEA will select <i>Met</i> or <i>Not Met</i> based on whether the standard for a local indicator was achieved.
Results	SPP performance indicators are measured in relation to targets approved by the SBE. Results are provided annually to the LEA in the APR. APRs are publicly accessible at: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp .	Performance is measured by whether an LEA has met the SBE-approved standard. "Standard Met" or "Standard Not Met" results are provided on the specific LEAs dashboard. Dashboard results are updated annually and are publicly available at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance).	None.

9

State Performance Plan Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation: Overall

Indicator 9 is a compliance indicator that measures the percent of Local Education Agencies (LEAs) with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification.

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for this indicator?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 6-22 will receive results for Indicator 9.

What is the data source?

Indicator 9 utilizes data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS). The specific CASEMIS fields used for calculating Indicator 9 are: Birth Date, Race/Ethnicity, Disability, District of Residence, and School Code. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

As of 2016¹, Indicator 9 utilizes a risk ratio. A risk ratio is a calculation performed by dividing the risk of a particular outcome (special education identification) for children in one racial or ethnic group within the LEA by the risk for children in all other racial and ethnic groups within the LEA. Risk ratios in excess of 3.0 are considered to be the result of inappropriate identification.

$$\left(\frac{\text{Students with disabilities in a specific race/ethnicity group}}{\text{Students in the same race/ethnicity group in general education}} \right) \times 100$$
$$\left(\frac{\text{Students with disabilities NOT in a specific race/ethnicity group}}{\text{Students NOT in the same race/ethnicity group in general education}} \right) \times 100$$

Note: in cases where either the numerator or denominator of the particular race/ethnicity group fail to meet the minimum size (numerator less than 10 or denominator less than 20), an Alternate Risk Ratio (ARR) is utilized. The ARR is a calculation performed by dividing the risk of a particular outcome for children in one racial or ethnic group within an LEA by the risk of that outcome for children in all other racial or ethnic groups in the State, rather than in the LEA.

Indicator 9:
Making
Connections



Related CA Dashboard Indicator

No related Dashboard indicator



Related LCAP Priorities

1: Basic Services, 2: State Standards,
4: Student Achievement, and 7: Course Access



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring, Targeted Monitoring (Disproportionality), and Intensive Monitoring (Significant Disproportionality)

¹ For more information on the change in regulations, please visit https://sites.ed.gov/idea/files/20161219-Part_B_final_regulations.pdf.

What is the target for Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation: Overall?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Please note: Indicator 9 uses a state-level target and requires that 0% of LEAs, statewide, will have a disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education as a result of inappropriate identification. It is recommended that LEA staff refer to their Annual Performance Report (APR) to locate LEA-level information. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

10

State Performance Plan Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation by Disability Categories

Indicator 10 is a compliance indicator that measures the percent of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories as a result of inappropriate identification.

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for this indicator?

The LEA designated as the District of Special Education Accountability for students with disabilities ages 6-22 will receive results for Indicator 10.

What is the data source?

Indicator 10 utilizes data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS). The specific CASEMIS fields used for calculating Indicator 10 are: Birth Date, Race/Ethnicity, Disability, District of Residence, and School Code. This indicator is reported by the District of Special Education Accountability.

Note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).

How are results calculated?

As of 2016¹, Indicator 10 utilizes a risk ratio. A risk ratio is a calculation performed by dividing the risk of a particular outcome (special education identification) for children in one racial or ethnic group within the LEA by the risk for children in all other racial and ethnic groups within the LEA. Risk ratios in excess of 3.0 are considered to be the result of inappropriate identification. This calculation is conducted for each of the following disability categories:

- Autism
- Emotional Disturbance
- Intellectual Disability
- Other Health Impairment
- Specific Learning Disability
- Speech or Language Impairment

$$\left(\frac{\text{Students with disabilities within a specific race/ethnicity group}}{\text{Students in the same race/ethnicity group in general education}} \right) \times 100$$

$$\left(\frac{\text{Students with disabilities NOT within a specific race/ethnicity group}}{\text{Students NOT in the same race/ethnicity group in general education}} \right) \times 100$$

Note: in cases where either the numerator or denominator of the particular race/ethnicity group fail to meet the minimum size (numerator less than 10 or denominator less than 20), an Alternate Risk Ratio (ARR) is utilized. The ARR is a calculation performed by dividing the risk of a particular outcome for children in one racial or ethnic group within an LEA by the risk of that outcome for children in all other racial or ethnic groups in the State, rather than in the LEA.

¹ For more information on the change in regulations, please visit https://sites.ed.gov/idea/files/20161219-Part_B_final_regulations.pdf.



Indicator 10: *Making Connections*



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

No related Dashboard indicator



Related LCAP Priorities

- Priority 1: Basic Services
- Priority 2: State Standards
- Priority 4: Student Achievement
- Priority 7: Course Access



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring, Targeted Monitoring (Disproportionality), and Intensive Monitoring (Significant Disproportionality)

What is the target for Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation by Disability Categories?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Please note: Indicator 10 uses a state-level target and requires that 0% of LEAs, statewide, will have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories, as a result of inappropriate identification. It is recommended that LEA staff refer to their Annual Performance Report (APR) to locate LEA-level information. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

11

State Performance Plan Indicator 11: Timely Eligibility Evaluation

Indicator 11 is a compliance indicator that measures the percent of students whose eligibility for special education was determined within 60 days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for this indicator?

The LEA designated as the Reporting LEA for students with disabilities ages 3-22 will receive results for Indicator 11.

What is the data source?

Indicator 11 utilizes data from the California Special Education Management of Information System (CASEMIS). The specific CASEMIS fields used for calculating Indicator 11 are: Parental Consent Date, Initial Evaluation Date, Evaluation Delay, and District of Service. Parental Consent refers to date parent signed in consent to the assessment plan. This indicator is reported by the Reporting LEA. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

Results are calculated by dividing the number of students with disabilities with an evaluation for Special Education done within 60 days of parental consent by the number of students who received parental consent minus those evaluations delayed¹, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with an evaluation for special education done within 60 days of parental consent}}{\text{\# of students who received parental consent minus delayed evaluations}^1} \right) \times 100$$



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

No related Dashboard indicator



Related LCAP Priority

Priority 1: Basic Services



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Compliance)

¹ Delay codes may be used if the parent of a student repeatedly failed or refused to bring the student for the evaluation, or a student enrolled in a school of another public agency after the timeframe for initial evaluations had begun yet prior to a determination by the student's previous public agency as to whether the student is a student with a disability. In these situations, the student was eliminated from both the numerator and the denominator.

What is the target for Indicator 11: Timely Eligibility Evaluation?

Indicator 11 is a compliance indicator. Compliance indicator targets are set at either 0% or 100% based on requirements of IDEA. Regarding eligibility evaluations, IDEA requires that eligibility for special education be determined within 60 days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation. Therefore, the California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



The current year target for Indicator 11 can also be viewed in the LEA Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

12

State Performance Plan Indicator 12:

Timely Part C to B Transition

Indicator 12 is a compliance indicator that measures the percent of children referred by the infant program (IDEA Part C) prior to age three, who are found eligible for school age special education services (IDEA Part B) and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for this indicator?

The LEA designated as the Reporting LEA for students with disabilities ages birth-22 will receive results for Indicator 12.


What is the data source?

Indicator 12 utilizes data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS) and the Department of Developmental Services. The specific CASEMIS fields used for calculating Indicator 12 are: Birth Date, Initial Evaluation Date, Third Birthday Delay, Plan Type, and SELPA code. This indicator is reported by the Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA). *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

Results are calculated by dividing the number of students who turned three, who were receiving services from Part C and had a Part B evaluation completed prior to their third birthday by the number of students who turned three and who were receiving services from Part C less those that were ineligible, whose parents refused or were referred to Part B within 60 days of their third birthday. The result is then multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students who turned 3 who were receiving Part C and had a Part B evaluation completed prior to their 3rd birthday}}{\text{\# of students who turned 3 who were receiving Part C minus those who were ineligible, whose parents refused or were referred to Part B within 60 days of their 3rd birthday}} \right) \times 100$$



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

No related Dashboard indicator



Related LCAP Priority

Priority 1: Basic Services



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Compliance)

What is the target for Indicator 12: Timely Part C to B Transition?

Indicator 12 is a compliance indicator. Compliance indicator targets are set at either 0% or 100% based on requirements of IDEA. Regarding Timely Part C to B Transition, IDEA requires that all students referred by the infant program (IDEA Part C) prior to age three, who are found eligible for school age special education services (IDEA Part B) must have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday. Therefore, the California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



The current year target for Indicator 12 can also be viewed in the LEA Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

13

State Performance Plan Indicator 13: Secondary Transition Goals and Services

Indicator 13 is a compliance indicator that measures the percent of students with disabilities ages 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable post-secondary goals annually updated and based on age appropriate transition assessment and services, including courses of study that will reasonably enable the student to meet those post-secondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition service needs.


Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for this indicator?

The LEA designated as the Reporting LEA for students with disabilities ages 16-22 will receive results for Indicator 13.

What is the data source?

Indicator 13 is calculated using data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS). This indicator is reported by the Reporting LEA. The specific CASEMIS fields used for calculating Indicator 13 are: District of Service, Birth Date, and Post-Secondary Goals 1-8. Goals 1-8 require that the IEP team answer yes or no to the questions below. In order to be compliant on this indicator, all questions must be marked "yes" with the exception of the "if appropriate and agreed upon, agencies invited" field which may be marked "yes" or "N/A". *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

- Is there an appropriate measurable post-secondary goal(s) that covers education or training, employment and, as needed, independent living?
- Is (are) the post-secondary goal(s) updated annually?
- Is there evidence that the measurable post-secondary goals(s) were based on age-appropriate transition assessment?
- Are there transition services included in the IEP that will reasonably enable the student to meet his or her post-secondary goals?
- Do the transition services include courses of study that will reasonably enable the student to meet his or her post-secondary goals?
- Is (are) there annual goal(s) included in the IEP that are related to the student's transition services needs?
- Is there evidence that the student was invited?
- If appropriate, is there evidence that a representative of any participating agency was invited with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority?



Related California School Dashboard Indicator

No related Dashboard indicator



Related LCAP Priority

Priority 8: Student Outcomes



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Compliance)

How are results calculated?

Results are calculated by dividing the number of students with disabilities ages sixteen and above whose IEP includes appropriate measurable post-secondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment and

transition services ("yes" response on Goals 1 through 8 on the IEP, with the exception of "agencies invited" which may have a "yes" or "N/A" response) divided by the number of students with disabilities ages sixteen and above, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with "yes" responses on transition service goals 1 through 8}}{\text{\# of students with disabilities ages 16 and above}} \right) \times 100$$

What is the target for Indicator 13: Secondary Transition Goals and Services?

Indicator 13 is a compliance indicator. Compliance indicator targets are set at either 0% or 100% based on requirements of IDEA. Regarding secondary transition goals and services, IDEA requires that all students ages 16 years and older have IEPs that include appropriate post-secondary goals and additional transition requirements on or before their 16th birthday. Therefore, the California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



The current year target for Indicator 13 can also be viewed in the LEA Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

14

State Performance Plan Indicator 14a: Post-School Outcomes: Higher Education

Indicator 14 is a performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure post-school outcomes. 14a is a performance indicator that measures the percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect when they left school, and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.

What are the components of Indicator 14?

Indicator 14 is divided into three components, each of which measures varying degrees of post-school outcomes for students with disabilities. This handout will focus on Indicator 14a.

- 14a Higher Education
- 14b Higher Education or Competitively Employed
- 14c Any Post-Secondary Education or Employed

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 14a?

The LEA designated as the Reporting LEA for students with disabilities grades 9-12 will receive results for Indicator 14a.

What is the data source?

All components of Indicator 14 are calculated using data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS) Table D (post-secondary follow-up) submission as well as the District of Service and Post-Secondary Educational Program and/or Employment CASEMIS fields. CASEMIS Table D contains one record for each student exited from the high school program or the Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA) during the prior year except those students who returned to regular education, transferred to another program, or are deceased. Staff may collect data through a variety of methods including interviews and surveys. This indicator is reported by the Reporting LEA. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

Results are calculated by dividing the number of students with disabilities with IEPs in effect when they left school who enrolled in higher education within one year of exiting secondary school divided by the number of students with disabilities who exited secondary school, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with IEPs when they left school who enrolled in higher education within one year of exiting secondary school}}{\text{\# of students who exited secondary school within the same school year}} \right) \times 100$$

Indicator 14a:
Making
Connections



Related CA Dashboard Indicator

State Indicator: Preparation for College/Career



Related LCAP Priority

Priority 4: Student Achievement



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activities

Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance)

What is the target for Indicator 14a: Post-School Outcomes: Higher Education?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 14a, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between SPP Indicator 14a: Post School Outcomes: Higher Education and the Dashboard Preparation for College/Career Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 14a: Higher Education	Dashboard Indicator: Preparation for College/Career
Description	Performance indicator that measures post-school outcomes for youth with IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving school.	State indicator that utilizes various college and career metrics to measure students preparedness for college. For more information on this indicator, see: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/ccical.asp .
Participants	Students with disabilities (SWD) who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect when they left school.	All students grades 9-12. For SWD subgroup, SWD are defined as students who are marked as SWD at any time during the four-year cohort.
Data Source	CASEMIS, Table D submission (<i>through 18-19 school year</i>).	CALPADS
Calculation	Number of SWD enrolled in higher education within one year of exiting secondary school divided by number of respondents who exited secondary school within the same school year.	Calculation utilizes prior year data (status) and two years prior data (change) for an overall performance level. <u>Status Calculation for Comprehensive high school</u> : (Number of combined four- and five-year graduates who met the "prepared" criteria on the CCI Model) divided by (total number of students in the four-year cohort plus the number of five-year graduates who met the "prepared" criteria). <u>Change Calculation</u> : current year status minus prior year status.
Results	SPP performance indicators, such as post-school outcomes, are measured in relation to targets approved by the SBE. Indicator results are summarized in the LEA-level APR which are publicly accessible at: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp .	Dashboard state indicators, such as Preparation for College/Career, utilize a color-coded system ranging from blue (highest) to red (lowest). The overall performance level reflects a combination of current performance (status) compared to past performance (change). Dashboard results are updated annually and are accessible at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance).	None.

14

State Performance Plan Indicator 14b: Post-School Outcomes: Higher Education or Competitively Employed

Indicator 14 is a performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure post-school outcomes. 14b is a performance indicator that measures the percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect when they left school, and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.

What are the components of Indicator 14?

Indicator 14 is divided into three components, each of which measures varying degrees of post-school outcomes for students with disabilities. This handout will focus on Indicator 14b.

- 14a Higher Education
- 14b Higher Education or Competitively Employed
- 14c Any Post-Secondary Education or Employed

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 14b?

The LEA designated as the Reporting LEA for students with disabilities grades 9-12 will receive results for Indicator 14b.

What is the data source?

All components of Indicator 14 are calculated using data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS) Table D (post-secondary follow-up) submission as well as the District of Service and Post-Secondary Educational Program and/or Employment CASEMIS fields. CASEMIS Table D contains one record for each student exited from the high school program or the Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA) during the prior year except those students who returned to regular education, transferred to another program, or are deceased. Staff may collect data through a variety of methods including interviews and surveys. This indicator is reported by the Reporting LEA. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

Results are calculated by dividing the number of students with IEPs in effect when they left school who enrolled in higher education or were competitively employed within one year of exiting secondary school divided by the number of respondents who exited secondary school within the same school year, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with IEPs when they left school who enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of exiting secondary school}}{\text{\# of students who exited secondary school within the same school year}} \right) \times 100$$

Indicator 14b:
Making
Connections



Related CA Dashboard Indicator
State Indicator: Preparation for
College/Career



Related LCAP Priority
Priority 4: Student Achievement



**Associated Special Education Monitoring
Activity**
Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring
(Performance)

What is the target for Indicator 14b Post-School Outcomes: Higher Education or Competitively Employed?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 14b, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between SPP Indicator 14b and the Dashboard Preparation for College/Career Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 14b: Higher Education or Competitively Employed	Dashboard Indicator: Preparation for College/Career
Description	Performance indicator that measures post-school outcomes for youth with IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving school.	State indicator that utilizes various college and career metrics to measure students preparedness for college. For more information on this indicator, see: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/ccical.asp .
Participants	Students with disabilities (SWD) who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect when they left school.	All students grades 9-12. For SWD subgroup, SWD are defined as students who are marked as SWD at any time during the four-year cohort.
Data Source	CASEMIS, Table D submission (through 18-19 school year).	CALPADS
Calculation	Number of SWD enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of exiting secondary school divided by number of respondents who exited secondary school within the same school year.	Calculation utilizes prior year data (status) and two years prior data (change) for an overall performance level. Status Calculation for Comprehensive high school: (Number of combined four- and five-year graduates who met the "prepared" criteria on the CCI Model) divided by (total number of students in the four-year cohort plus the number of five-year graduates who met the "prepared" criteria). Change Calculation: current year status minus prior year status.
Results	SPP performance indicators, such as post-school outcomes, are measured in relation to targets approved by the SBE. Indicator results are summarized in the LEA-level APR which are publicly accessible at: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp .	Dashboard state indicators, such as Preparation for College/Career, utilize a color-coded system ranging from blue (highest) to red (lowest). The overall performance level reflects a combination of current performance (status) compared to past performance (change). Dashboard results are updated annually and are accessible at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance).	None.

14

State Performance Plan Indicator 14c: Post-School Outcomes: Post-Secondary Education or Employed

Indicator 14 is a performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure post-school outcomes. 14c is a performance indicator that measures the percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect when they left school, and were enrolled in higher education or other post-secondary education/training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year.

What are the components of Indicator 14?

Indicator 14 is divided into three components, each of which measures varying degrees of post-school outcomes for students with disabilities. This handout will focus on Indicator 14c.

- 14a Higher Education
- 14b Higher Education or Competitively Employed
- 14c Any Post-Secondary Education or Employed

Which Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) receive results for Indicator 14c?

The LEA designated as the Reporting LEA for students with disabilities grades 9-12 will receive results for Indicator 14c.

What is the data source?

All components of Indicator 14 are calculated using data from the California Special Education Management Information System (CASEMIS) Table D (post-secondary follow-up) submission as well as the District of Service and Post-Secondary Educational Program and/or Employment CASEMIS fields. CASEMIS Table D contains one record for each student exited from the high school program or the Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA) during the prior year except those students who returned to regular education, transferred to another program, or are deceased. Staff may collect data through a variety of methods including interviews and surveys. This indicator is reported by the Reporting LEA. *Please note: CASEMIS fields were utilized through the 18-19 school year and are therefore reflected in this guide to accompany the 18-19 Annual Performance Reports (APRs).*

How are results calculated?

Results are calculated by dividing the number of students with IEPs in effect when they left school who enrolled in higher education or other post-secondary education/training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year divided by the number of respondents who exited secondary school within the same school year, multiplied by 100.

$$\left(\frac{\text{\# of students with IEPs when they left school who enrolled in post-secondary education or were employed within one year of exiting secondary school}}{\text{\# of students who exited secondary school within the same school year}} \right) \times 100$$



Related CA Dashboard Indicator
State Indicator: Preparation for College/Career



Related LCAP Priority
Priority 4: Student Achievement



Associated Special Education Monitoring Activity
Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance)

What is the target for Indicator 14c Post-School Outcomes: Any Post-Secondary Education or Employed?

The California State Performance Plan established the following targets by school year:



Indicator targets may adjust; therefore, to view the current year target for Indicator 14c, please refer to your LEA's Annual Performance Report. LEA reports can be viewed by visiting CDE's Annual Performance Report Measures page: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp>.

Compare and Contrast: State Performance Plan and California School Dashboard Indicators

The California School Dashboard, or Dashboard, is a key component of California's overall accountability system. Although multiple Dashboard indicators share similarities with those in the State Performance Plan, there are important differences between these two unique sets of accountability indicators. Examining both sets of indicators ensures that the experiences of students with disabilities are not siloed, but considered among data for all students. The following table summarizes the comparison between SPP Indicator 14c and the Dashboard Preparation for College/Career Indicator.

	SPP Indicator 14c: Any Post-Secondary Education or Employed	Dashboard Indicator: Preparation for College/Career
Description	Performance indicator that measures post-school outcomes for youth with IEPs who are no longer in secondary school and were enrolled in higher education or other postsecondary education/training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving school.	State indicator that utilizes various college and career metrics to measure students preparedness for college. For more information on this indicator, see: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/ccical.asp .
Participants	Students with disabilities (SWD) who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect when they left.	All students grades 9-12. For SWD subgroup, SWD are defined as students who are marked as SWD at any time during the four-year cohort.
Data Source	CASEMIS, Table D submission (through 18-19 school year).	CALPADS
Calculation	Number of SWD who enrolled in post-secondary education or were employed one year after exiting secondary school divided by number of respondents who exited secondary school within the same school year.	Calculation utilizes prior year data (status) and two years prior data (change) for an overall performance level. <u>Status Calculation for Comprehensive high school</u> : (Number of combined four- and five-year graduates who met the "prepared" criteria on the CCI Model) divided by (total number of students in the four-year cohort plus the number of five-year graduates who met the "prepared" criteria). <u>Change Calculation</u> : current year status minus prior year status.
Results	SPP performance indicators, such as post-school outcomes, are measured in relation to targets approved by the SBE. Indicator results are summarized in the LEA-level APR which are publicly accessible at: www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/ds/leadatarpts.asp .	Dashboard state indicators, such as Preparation for College/Career, utilize a color-coded system ranging from blue (highest) to red (lowest). The overall performance level reflects a combination of current performance (status) compared to past performance (change). Dashboard results are updated annually and are accessible at: www.caschooldashboard.org .
Associated Monitoring Activity	Universal Monitoring and Targeted Monitoring (Performance).	None.

Appendices



**SYSTEM
IMPROVEMENT
LEADS**

INSPIRE • CONNECT • INNOVATE

INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Appendix A: District of Special Education Accountability (DSEA) and Reporting LEA

Data for each State Performance Plan indicator is reported by either the District of Special Education Accountability (DSEA) (previously known as *District of Residence*) or the Reporting LEA (previously known as *District of Service*). Consideration of which entity is responsible for reporting data is particularly helpful for LEAs with students placed in other district, county or charter school programs through the IEP process. Please note that LEAs with students attending a nonpublic school or residential program maintain status as both the DSEA and Reporting LEA for those students. Therefore, students attending a nonpublic school or residential program will be included in the LEA's State Performance Plan indicator calculations. Definitions of both the DSEA and Reporting LEA are included below¹. For a comprehensive table of common scenarios for determining which LEA is responsible for reporting students with disabilities data (as well as which LEA will be held accountable on the Dashboard) please visit CDE's Reporting Data for Students with Disabilities resource at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sp/cl/swdreporting.asp>.


District of Special Education Accountability	Reporting LEA
<p>The district of GEOGRAPHIC residence, under the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The student's parent(s) or guardian(s) reside in the same district in which the student is receiving special education instruction and related services. • The student is placed outside of his/her district of geographic residence through the IEP process. <p>A district OTHER THAN the district of geographic residence, under the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The student has a formal inter-district transfer agreement. (DSEA = the county/district to which the student has transferred.) • The student attends a charter school. (DSEA = the charter school.) • The student is a ward of the court and housed in a juvenile court, court/community school, or licensed children's institution. (DSEA = the district or COE serving the student while the student is incarcerated/institutionalized.) • The student is a ward of the court and housed in an adult correctional facility (e.g., a county jail). (DSEA = the county/district last responsible for the IEP.) 	<p>The district that provides the majority of special education instruction and related services.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If student is attending school at a district, but is receiving special education-related services (e.g., speech only) at another district or county office, then the Reporting LEA would be the district where the student is attending school. The district/county office providing the service only is a service provider. • In cases where a student is referred to another district or county program where they receive both instructional and special education-related services under a local agreement, that district or county office becomes the Reporting LEA. The referring district is the DSEA. • If a student transfers to a district under an inter-district transfer, the receiving district becomes the DSEA.

¹ California Department of Education CALPADS Team and Special Education Division. (2018, December 18). *CASEMIS to CALPADS (C2C) Transition Updates*. Retrieved from: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sp/cl/c2cnews20181218.asp>.

INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Appendix B: Special Education Monitoring Activities Summary

Data reported on the State Performance Plan indicators and California School Dashboard indicators provide the California Department of Education (CDE) with information needed to measure student outcomes and Local Educational Agency (LEA) compliance. The CDE has made updates to its monitoring system beginning in the 2019-20 school year, including changes to how LEAs are selected for monitoring activities. Selection for 2019-20 monitoring activities are largely based on special education data submitted from each LEA. As shown in the corresponding figure, the CDE's special education monitoring activities now fall within three levels of monitoring: Universal, Targeted and Intensive. All LEAs participate in universal monitoring, and are selected for additional level 2 or 3 monitoring based on specific selection criteria, as shown.



The monitoring activity levels in the graphic above represent a shift from the six monitoring activities previously utilized in the Quality Assurance Process (QAP). The table below illustrates the evolution of monitoring names from those previously used within the 18-19 monitoring year (QAP) to the current special education monitoring types. A brief summary of each of the three 2019-2020 special education monitoring levels, including selection criteria and activities, are provided on the following page.

Monitoring Activity <u>NAME</u> in the 18-19 Monitoring Year ¹	Monitoring Activity <u>TYPE</u> in the 19-20 Monitoring Year ²
Review of identified monitoring priorities included in the local-level APR, Dashboard and other data sources	NEW Universal Monitoring
Data Identified Non-Compliance (DINC)	Targeted Monitoring (Compliance)
Performance Indicator Review (PIR)	Targeted Monitoring (Performance)
Disproportionality Review	Targeted Monitoring (Disproportionality)
Comprehensive Review	Intensive Monitoring (School Age)
Preschool Review	Intensive Monitoring (Preschool Age)
Significant Disproportionality Review	Intensive Monitoring (Significant Disproportionality)

¹ Aligns with the 17-18 Annual Performance Report (APR) and 2018 Dashboard

² Aligns with the 18-19 APR and 2019 Dashboard

Level 1: Universal Monitoring

Data from all LEAs statewide will be reviewed as part of universal monitoring. Universal monitoring includes the annual collection and analysis of the LEA's data by CDE (including APR and Dashboard data). Universal supports include an LEA's access to a range of state resources such as technical assistance providers through state contracts, CDE trainings, and materials posted on CDE's website (see: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/se/sr/>). Based on CDE's annual analysis of Level 1 data, CDE selects some LEAs for more targeted Level 2 monitoring, or more intensive Level 3 monitoring, as described below.

Level 2: Targeted Monitoring

Selection Criteria: Compliance, Performance and Disproportionality

Targeted monitoring is provided to LEAs that require a moderate level support: more than universal monitoring (Level 1), but less than intensive monitoring (Level 3). There are three different selection criteria applied to the Level 1 data that may result in CDE identifying an LEA for targeted monitoring: (1) indicators that identify compliance difficulties; (2) indicators that identify performance difficulties; and (3) the disproportionate representation of students with disabilities in special education programs relative to the presence of this group in the overall student population. LEAs that do not meet specified targets in these particular areas will be identified for additional monitoring.

Targeted monitoring reviews are a joint activity in which the CDE supports the LEA to improve performance, compliance and disproportionality related to IDEA implementation in one or more areas. An LEA participating in targeted monitoring will submit an improvement plan, developed in collaboration with the CDE, that will address the needs identified through the selection process. This process will include the LEA's submission of planned activities, which may include improvement strategies described in prior Performance Indicator Review (PIR) plans.

Level 3: Intensive Monitoring

Selection Criteria: Preschool Age Outcomes (3-5), School Age Outcomes (6-22) and Significant Disproportionality

Intensive monitoring is the highest level of monitoring. Based on the Level 1 review of data conducted for all LEAs, CDE may select certain LEAs for intensive monitoring at Level 3. CDE has revised and pared down the number of indicators used to identify LEAs for intensive monitoring to emphasize performance on those indicators most closely aligned with measuring the provision of FAPE in the LRE. There are now three different selection criteria that may result in CDE identifying an LEA for intensive monitoring: (1) Intensive monitoring, due to outcomes for students ages 6 through 22; (2) Intensive monitoring, due to outcomes for children with disabilities ages 3 through 5; and (3) identification for Significant Disproportionality. Intensive monitoring reviews are a joint activity between the CDE and an LEA that involves a comprehensive evaluation of significant compliance and/or performance issues related to IDEA implementation. The activities may include onsite visits, record reviews, regional trainings, and technical assistance. An LEA participating in intensive monitoring will submit an improvement plan in which the LEA will describe planned activities with the goal of improving outcomes for students with disabilities.

Appendix C: State Performance Plan Indicators At-A-Glance

SPP Indicator	Definition	Data Source	Making Connections		
			Monitoring ¹	LCAP	Dashboard
1: Graduation Rate	Performance indicator that measures the percent of SWD in a 4-year cohort, who graduate from high school with a regular diploma within 4 years.	CALPADS	Universal	Student Engagement	Graduation Rate
2: Dropout Rate	Performance indicator that measures the percent of students in grades nine and higher who exited special education services by dropping out of high school.	CALPADS CASEMIS ²	Universal Targeted (P)	Student Engagement	None
3: Statewide Assessment	Performance indicator that measures the participation and performance of SWD on statewide assessments using three components:	N/A	N/A	Student Achievement Course Access Student Outcomes	Academic Performance
	3a: No longer calculated as it was a percentage tied to Annual Yearly Progress (AYP), which has been discontinued.				
	3b: Performance Indicator that measures the participation rate of SWD in the CAASPP.				
	3c: Performance indicator that measures the percent of SWD scoring proficient on the CAASPP in ELA and Math, calculated separately.	CAASPP	Universal, Targeted (P)		
4: Discipline	Performance indicator that measures the discipline rate of SWD using two components:	CALPADS CASEMIS ²	Universal	School Climate	Suspension Rate
	4a: Performance indicator that measures the number of SWD who were disciplined for greater than 10 cumulative days in a school year.				
	4b: Compliance indicator that measures the discipline rate of SWD by race/ethnicity.				
	Performance indicator that measures whether SWDs are educated within the LRE using three components:	CAASPP	Universal, Intensive (SA)		
5: Least Restrictive Environment	5a: Rate of SWD in regular classroom 80% or more of day.	CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (P), Intensive (SA)	Basic Services Course Access	Access to Broad Course of Study
	5b: Rate of SWD inside the classroom less than 40% of the day.	CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (P)		
	5c: Rate of SWD in Separate Setting.	CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (P), Intensive (SA)		
6: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment	Performance indicator that utilizes two components to measures whether preschool students with disabilities are educated within the LRE:	CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (P), Intensive (PA)	Basic Services Course Access	None
	6a: % of preschool SWD receiving majority of services in regular setting				
	6b: % of preschool SWD in a separate setting				



SWD: Students with Disabilities; **Monitoring:** California Department of Education Special Education Monitoring Activities; **LCAP:** Local Control Accountability Plan; **CASEMIS:** California Special Education Management Information System; **CALPADS:** California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System; **P:** Performance; **C:** Compliance; **D:** Disproportionality; **SD:** Significant Disproportionality; **SA:** School Age; **PA:** Preschool Age

Appendix C: State Performance Plan Indicators At-A-Glance, continued

SPP Indicator	Definition	Data Source	Making Connections			
			Monitoring ¹	LCAP	Dashboard	
7: Preschool Outcomes	Performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure outcomes for preschool students with disabilities:					
	7a: Positive Social-Emotional Skills	DRDP	Universal, Intensive (PA)	Course Access	None	
	7b: Acquisition and Use of Knowledge and Skills	DRDP	Universal, Intensive (PA)			
7c: Use of Appropriate Behaviors	DRDP	Universal, Intensive (PA)				
8: Parent Involvement	Performance indicator that measures the percent of parents with a student receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for SWD.	CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (P)	Parent Involvement	Parent Engagement	
9: Disproportionate Representation: Overall	Compliance indicator that measures the percent of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education.	CALPADS CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (D), Intensive (SD)	Basic Services, State Standards, Student Ach, Course Access	None	
10: Disproportionate Representation by Disability Category	Compliance indicator that measures the percent of LEAs with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories as a result of inappropriate identification.	CALPADS CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (D), Intensive (SD)	Basic Services, State Standards, Student Ach, Course Access	None	
11: Timely Eligibility Evaluation	Compliance indicator that measures the percent of students whose eligibility for special education was determined within 60 days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.	CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (C)	Basic Services	None	
12: Timely Part C to B Transition	Compliance indicator that measures the percent of children referred by the infant program (IDEA Part C) who are found eligible for school age special education services (IDEA Part B) and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthday.	CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (C)	Basic Services	None	
13: Secondary Transition Goals/ Services	Compliance indicator that measures the percent of SWD ages 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable post-secondary goals.	CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (C)	Student Outcomes	None	
14: Post-School Outcomes	Performance indicator that utilizes three components to measure post-school outcomes:					
	14a. Higher Education	CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (P)	Student Achievement	College/Career	
	14b. Higher Education or Competitively Employed	CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (P)			
14c. Higher Education or Competitively Employed or other training or employment program	CASEMIS ²	Universal, Targeted (P)				

¹ As of SY 19-20 monitoring activities

² CASEMIS continues to be used for data collection through SY 18-19. This table will be updated during the 20-21 SY to align with the 19-20 APR.